

Internet-based CBT intervention for gamblers in Finland

Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants and experiences from the field



NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND WELFARE, FINLAND



Peli Poikki - Program

- Based on the Spelinstitutet's program (Sweden).
- Started in the autumn 2007.
- Participation via Gamblers Helpline website (Peluuuri).
- **Components of the 8-week program**
- Weekly online modules with assigned homework, 30 minutes of weekly telephone support (one hour phone calls: first and last calls), online discussion group.
- **Ingredients of the program:** psycho education, MI – enhancing participants motivation for change, cognitive part (gambling related erroneous thoughts and consequences) and relapse prevention.

Aims of the Studies I and II

- **Study I :**
- A) to describe the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants.
- B) to define the predictors of gambling related problems (NODS).
- **Study II:**
- A) to explore the impacts on the Peli Poikki – Program's efficacy in treating gamblers.
- B) to share practical experience from the field.

Measures of the studies

- Socio-demographics (age, onset age of gambling, gender, educational level, employment status).
- Gambling measure (NODS 2 months).
- Depression (MARDS-S).
- Alcohol consumption (AUDIT-C).
- Gambling related erroneous thoughts (14 questions).
- Gambling urge (1 question).
- Impaired control of gambling (1 question).
- Social consequences of gambling (14 questions).
- Type of games gambled (9 options).

Data collection and participants (N= 471)

- Data was collected between September 2007 and May 2011.
- Gender: 325 males and 146 females.
- Age: (M = 35, SD = 11.8).
- Onset age of gambling: (M = 23 years, SD = 12.2). Males 23 years, females 31 years.
- Males had gambled longer than females ($t = -9.344$, $df = 462$, $p < .001$).

Educational status

- **Education:**
- 41.6% high school diploma.
- 15.5% bachelor's degree.
- 14.4% middle school degree.
- 12.1% vocational college degree.
- 11.9% master's or higher level university degree.
- 3.1% elementary school diploma.

Employment status

- **Employment:**
- 64.9% employed.
- 9.8% unemployed.
- 8.6% students.
- 1.9% retired, sick-leave, parental leave or other.

Gambling measure (baseline)

Total sample

- 6.7%: no gambling problems.
- 10.0%: at-risk level of gambling problems.
- 14.8%: problem gambling.
- 64.0%: pathological gambling.

Gender differences in gambling measure

| | NODS - score | N | % |
|----------------|--------------|-----|------|
| NODS (males) | 0 | 29 | 9.3 |
| | 1-2 | 31 | 10 |
| | 3-4 | 43 | 13.8 |
| | 5-10 | 208 | 66.9 |
| Sum | | 311 | |
| NODS (females) | 0 | 2 | 1.4 |
| | 1-2 | 17 | 12.0 |
| | 3-4 | 26 | 18.3 |
| | 5-10 | 97 | 68.3 |
| Sum | | 142 | |

Gender differences in depression and alcohol consumption

| Gender | MARDS-S | AUDIT-C |
|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Males | M = 14.7 | M = 8.92* |
| | SD = 8.81 | SD = 2.09 |
| Females | M=16.6* | M=7.39 |
| | SD = 8.71 | SD = 8.71 |

MARDS-S: ANOVA $F(1,434)05.08, p<0.025$

AUDIT-C: ANOVA $F(1.406)=44.34, p<0.001.$

Gender differences in gambling related erroneous thoughts, gambling urge and impaired control in gambling

| Gender | Gambling related erroneous thoughts | Gambling urge | Impaired control in gambling |
|---------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Males | M = 5.21* | M = 8.86 | M = 2.28 |
| | SD = 2.87 | SD = 1.29 | SD = 1.02 |
| Females | M = 4.61 | M = 8.86 | M = 2.45 |
| | SD = 2.56 | SD = 1.27 | SD = 1.12 |

Gambling related erroneous thoughts. ANOVA $F(1, 417)=3.45, p<0.064$.

Type of gambling

| Type of gambling | % | Gender difference |
|---|------|-------------------|
| Slot machine | 57 | Males |
| Betting and Lotto (Veikkaus) | 34.3 | Males |
| Internet gambling | 30.1 | |
| Internet poker (RAY) | 19.7 | Males |
| Miscellaneous games | 14.4 | |
| Casino | 11.3 | |
| Internet poker (PAF) and foreign internet poker sites | 5.6 | |
| Horse track (Fintoto) | 4.2 | |

Note: one person can gamble several type of games.

Predictors of gambling related problems

| Variable | Model1 β | Model 2 β | Model 3 β |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Constant | 7.765 | 3.821 | -1.498 |
| Gender | 0.066 | 0.014 | -0.009 |
| Time of gambling in years | 0.012 | -0.03 | 0.002 |
| Gambl .related erron. thoughts | 0.097* | 0.053 | -0.002 |
| Social conseq. | -0.241** | -0.077 | -0.005 |
| MARDS-S | | 0.416** | 0.312** |
| AUDIT-C | | 0.037 | 0.028 |
| Urge | | | 0.184** |
| Impaired control | | | 0.254** |
| R ² | 0.082 | 0.216 | 0.319 |
| F | 9.117** | 16.944** | 21.274** |

*p<.05.**p<.001.

Summary of the Study I

- Males start gambling earlier compared to females.
- Slot machines most gambled type of game.
- Males consumed alcohol more than females and females scored higher in depression measure.
- Males appeared to have more gambling related erroneous thoughts compared to females.
- Males gambled significantly more slot machines, sports betting and gambled in the internet gambling sites compared to females.
- Best predictors of gambling related problems (NODS) were depression, gambling urge and impaired control of gambling. These factors explained 3.1% of gambling related problems.

Study II: Intervention and follow up

- **Aims:**
- to explore the impacts of the Peli Poikki- Program, using the same measures as in study I (baseline, post-treatment, 6-month and 12-month follow up).
- to share practical experience from the field.

- **Retention rates:**
- 8-week program: 48% (224 participants).
- 6-month follow up: 16.2%.
- 12-month follow up 8.8.%.

Odds ratios and confidence intervals for NODS, gambling urge and impaired control in gambling

Logistic regression table

| Variable | NODS | | Gambling urge | | Impaired control in gambling | |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI |
| Baseline – Post treatment | 0.041*** | 0.024-0.067 | 0.036*** | 0.018-0.069 | 0.088*** | 0.049-0.157 |
| Post treatment – 6 months | 0.69 | 0.22-2.15 | 0.53* | 0.31-0.90 | 0.96 | 0.30-3.08 |
| Female | 1.09 | 0.70-1.68 | 1.13 | 0.65-1.70 | 1.31 | 0.87-1.99 |
| Onset age | 1.00 | 0.98-1.01 | 0.99 | 0.97-1.01 | 1.00 | 0.98-1.01 |

* $p < 0.5$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$. Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) were used to estimate the regression parameters.

Estimates (B) and standard deviations (SD) for AUDIT-C, social consequences, gambling related erroneous thoughts and MARDS-S

Linear regression table

| Variables | AUDIT-C | | Social conseq. | | Gamb. related erroneous thoughts | | MARDS-S | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|------|---------|------|
| | B | SD | B | SD | B | SD | B | SD |
| Baseline – Post Treatment | -0.66*** | 0.13 | -0.25*** | 0.05 | -1.96*** | 0.19 | -7.8*** | 0.53 |
| Post treatment – 6 months | -0.33 | 0.32 | -0.63*** | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.3 | -0.34 | 1.09 |
| Female | -1.32*** | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.95 | 0.80 |
| Onset age | -0.032*** | 0.009 | -0.002 | 0.002 | -0.038*** | 0.01 | -0.013 | 0.03 |

* $p < 0.5$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$. Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) were used to estimate the regression parameters.

Summary of Study II

- **Baseline – Post treatment**
- ***Decline in:***
- Gambling related problems (NODS), gambling urge and gambling related erroneous thoughts.
- ***Improvements in:***
- Control of gambling.
- Alcohol consumption (AUDIT- C), depression (MARDS-S) and social consequences.
- **6-month follow up**
- Gambling urge and social consequences remained unchanged.
- **Specific association:** onset age with alcohol consumption and gambling related erroneous thoughts.

Limitations and future research

- **Quantitative data**
- Low retention rate, especially 6-month and 12-month follow up phases. – Insufficient recording of the questionnaires online and technical problems with the program.
- **Qualitative data**
- Interviews with the therapists
- 6-month follow up by phone call (retention rate 20%)
- Positive feedback from the participants
- **Limitations**
- Comparison group
- **Future research**
- To whom exactly internet-based interventions are suited for.

Thank You



Sari Castrén
Project Researcher/Psychologist

Department of Mental Health and
Substance Abuse

sari.castren@thl.fi